




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G02B 21/06, 21/00		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/30371
			(43) International Publication Date: 21 August 1997 (21.08.97)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US97/02479 (22) International Filing Date: 14 February 1997 (14.02.97) (30) Priority Data: 08/603,030 16 February 1996 (16.02.96) US (71) Applicant: CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY [US/US]; 5000 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213 (US). (72) Inventors: WACHMAN, Elliot; 5660 Phillips Avenue, Pitts- burgh, PA 15217 (US). FARKAS, Daniel, L.; 434 South Dallas Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15208 (US). NIU, Wen- Hua; 5562 Hobart Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15217 (US). (74) Agents: PENCOSKE, Edward, L. et al.; Kirkpatrick & Lock- hart L.L.P., 1500 Oliver Building, Pittsburgh, PA 15222 (US).		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the</i> <i>claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of</i> <i>amendments.</i>	

(54) Title: **LIGHT MICROSCOPE HAVING ACOUSTO-OPTIC TUNABLE FILTERS**

(57) Abstract

A light microscope comprises a light source (14) and a first acousto-optic tunable filter (16) responsive to the light source for producing two light streams of different polarization. A control circuit (17) is provided for tuning the first filter to a plurality of frequencies. A mechanism, such as steering optics (24, 25, 26, 27), is provided for combining the two light streams into a combined light stream. Input optics (29) focus the combined light stream. A condenser (30), such as a darkfield condenser, receives the focused, combined, light stream and projects it onto a sample held in a sample plane (31). A second acousto-optic tunable filter (38) is responsive to light from the sample. A second control circuit (17) is provided for tuning the second acousto-optic filter (38) to a plurality of frequencies. The light from the second acousto-optic tunable filter (38) may be captured and stored for future processing.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

LIGHT MICROSCOPE HAVING ACOUSTO-OPTIC TUNABLE FILTERS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTIONCross Reference to Related Application

5 This application is related to a U.S. patent application entitled Submicron Imaging System Having An Acousto-Optic Tunable Filter filed the same day as the instant application by the same inventors.

Field of the Invention

10 The present invention is directed to optical microscopes and, more particularly, to the filters used with optical microscopes.

Description of the Background

15 The light or optical microscope has been a standard tool of the cell biologist for three hundred years. Since the middle of this century, however, other tools and techniques have challenged the optical microscope's position as the preeminent tool of the biologist. Biochemistry has developed techniques to
20 chemically dissect specimens so that how a cell is assembled and how a cell reacts can be deduced by responses to certain chemicals. Genetic techniques have been added to the chemical techniques to enable researchers to infer how cells are assembled and
25 operate. The electron microscope, with its higher

resolving power, has revealed detail not producible with light microscopes.

Those new techniques and instruments, however, have their own drawbacks and limitations. Methods of chemically analyzing or genetically analyzing a sample do not result in images which can be examined, thereby leaving the researcher to infer how the cell came to be in a second state from a first state. The electron microscope requires that the specimen be dried and placed in a high vacuum. For that reason, the electron microscope is used to examine primarily dead specimens such that the researcher is again left to guess at the mechanisms which enable a cell to change from one state to another.

Two advances in technology have lead to a resurgence in light microscopy. The first advance relates to the proliferation of computers as well as the technology for digitizing images. It has long been the practice of researchers to connect cameras to microscopes to produce images which can be studied later. With the availability of low-cost hardware for digitizing images as well as low-cost computers, it is now possible to connect a computer to a microscope and produce digitized images which can be stored for later examination. In addition to storing digitized images, the computer may be used to enhance the images or to

automatically search for similarities and differences among a series of images.

The second advance lies in the area of multi-color fluorescent dyes. Fluorescent dyes are designed to attach themselves to specific molecular structures. The dye then, by various mechanisms intrinsic or induced, causes that cell structure to fluoresce. By capturing that fluorescent light, an image of the cell structure of interest can be created. Thus, not only the cell structure, but its location within the cell can be identified. More importantly, dyes can be constructed which cause the particular structure to which they are attached to fluoresce in response to a specific physiological change. Such dyes thus give information of what types of changes are occurring within a cell, where they are occurring, and when they occur. The use of such fluorescent dyes with light microscopes is referred to as fluorescence microscopy.

Because of the ability to cause cells or cell structures to fluoresce in response to biological changes, fluorescence microscopy has been challenged to provide a dynamic instrument capable of sampling multiple spectral parameters. In current, high-speed, multi-spectral applications, discrete filters are incorporated into filter wheels. Because excitation and detection occurs at two distinct wavelengths, a

filter wheel is provided in the excitation system and another filter wheel is provided in the imaging system. As the filter wheels are rotated, different filters are placed into the light stream thereby allowing analysis at different spectral parameters.

Mechanical filter wheels have several drawbacks. First, a filter wheel of any given size can carry only a limited number of discrete filters thereby limiting the number of spectral parameters that can be investigated. Radiation can be discriminated only when the filters are at rest, thereby limiting the timing resolution of the instrument. Finally, precise registration between images of a sample taken at different wavelengths is required to create a multicolor composite image. That is not possible with a mechanical filter wheel, and image post-processing is usually necessary to achieve such results.

To overcome the drawbacks of mechanical filter wheels, acousto-optic tunable filters (AOTF) have been investigated. At least as early as 1987, AOTFs had been proposed for use in connection with fluorescence spectroscopy as a replacement for mechanical wheels. See Kurtz et al., "Rapid Scanning Fluorescence Spectroscopy Using An Acousto-Optic Tunable Filter", Rev. Sci. Instrum. 58 (11), Nov. 1987, p. 1996-2003. AOTFs have also been proposed for use in illumination systems, Spring, "Illumination And Detection Systems

For Quantitative Fluorescence Microscopy", Royal Microscopical Society, 1987, p. 265-279, and in imaging systems, Morris et al., "Imaging Spectrometers For Fluorescence And Raman Microscopy: Acousto-Optic And Liquid Crystal Tunable Filters", Applied Spectroscopy, Vol. 48, No. 7, 1994, p. 857-866. The latter article by Morris et al. contains a side-by-side comparison of AOTF and liquid crystal tunable filter technologies as applied to fluorescence microscopy.

While such technologies eliminate the mechanical parts associated with filter wheels, and also eliminate timing and registration problems, such tunable filters typically are inferior to mechanical filters with respect to eliminating out-of-band illumination, overall throughput and, for imaging systems, image clarity. For example, the elimination of out-of-band frequencies in conventional mechanical filters is on the order of 10^{-5} , whereas the elimination of out-of-band frequencies for AOTFs is on the order of 10^{-2} or 10^{-3} . Additionally, with mechanical filters, spatial resolution of under 0.3 microns is typical whereas the best spatial resolution previously reported using AOTF's is 8-10 microns. For those reasons, mechanical filters are still commonly used. Thus, the need exists for a system which provides the benefits of no moving parts, with maximum spectral versatility and minimal timing and registration problems, while at the same

time optimizing throughput, reducing background, and providing the same image sharpness as obtained by using conventional fluorescence microscopes equipped with mechanical filter wheels.

5

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to a light microscope having a light source and an acousto-optic tunable filter responsive to the light source for producing two light streams of different polarization. A control circuit is provided for tuning the acousto-optic filter. In one embodiment, steering optics are used to combine the two light streams into a combined light stream. Input optics focus the combined light stream onto a sample, in one embodiment, preferably through a dark-field condenser. Imaging optics are responsive to light from the sample for producing an image therefrom. The image may be filtered and saved for later processing. According to one embodiment of the invention, the image may be filtered through a second AOTF, captured by a CCD camera, and saved for later processing.

As mentioned, two of the primary difficulties with using acousto-optic tunable filters on light microscopes are the limited amount of light which can be passed through the filter and the leakage light, i.e., the amount of out-of-bandwidth light. According to one embodiment of the present invention, those

obstacles can be greatly reduced by combining the two light streams of different polarization produced by the acousto-optic tunable filter and using a dark-field condenser. In addition, the light microscope disclosed and claimed herein produces images having substantially the same degree of spatial resolution as those produced by microscopes using mechanical filters.

The light microscope of the present invention enjoys all of the benefits which flow from the use of acousto-optic tunable filters. Hence, for example, the light microscope of the present invention can switch quickly between light of different frequencies to investigate transitions as they occur. In addition, the excitation and imaging filters may be swiftly turned on and off one after the other to measure time-delayed luminescence from cell structures labelled with luminescent probes.

Finally, spectral versatility is greatly increased. In particular, dyes which are designed to fluoresce at given frequencies may have their peak fluorescence above or below the expected frequency due to interaction with the sample or the medium. Additionally, the frequency at which peak fluorescence occurs may change from sample to sample or media to media. The AOTFs allow the excitation and imaging spectra to be empirically tuned to match such changes thereby enabling the amount of light collected from the

sample to be optimized, enhancing image quality. Those advantages and benefits of the present invention, as well as others, will become apparent from the Description of the Preferred Embodiments hereinbelow.

5

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For the present invention to be clearly understood and readily practiced, the present invention will be described in conjunction with the following figures wherein:

10

FIG. 1 illustrates a light microscope constructed according to the teachings of the present invention;

FIG. 2 illustrates phase-matching in a noncolinear AOTF;

15

FIG. 3 illustrates the light path in an ideal AOTF imaging system;

FIG. 4 is a schematic of a light microscope incorporating an imaging system constructed according to the teachings of the present invention which was used to conduct tests;

20

FIG. 5 is an experimental setup to perform wavelength measurements outside the microscope of FIG. 4;

25

FIGS. 6a through 6c illustrate three photographs of dark-field images of 0.121 micron opaque beads taken at a frequency of 74 MHz using one (FIG. 6a), two (FIG. 6b), and six (FIG. 6c) transducer slices corresponding

to transducer lengths of 0.33 micron, 0.66 micron, and 1.98 micron, respectively;

FIGs. 7a through 7c are graphs illustrating intensity versus wavelength data (FIG. 7a), intensity
5 versus angle data (FIG. 7c), and theoretical results (FIG. 7b) for the three different images of FIGs. 6a - 6c;

FIGs 8a and 8b illustrate the effect of image deconvolution on image quality using the standard Air
10 Force resolution target and a transducer length of 1.98 micron shown before (FIG. 8a) and after (FIG. 8b) the convolution;

FIGs. 9a, 10a, and 11a are ultra high resolution AOTF images of actin fibers in fluorescence;

15 FIGs. 9b, 10b, and 11b are graphs representing line intensity profiles taken along the light lines shown at the bottom right in each of the respective "a" figures;

FIGs. 12a - 12c illustrate electronic control of
20 AOTF filter bandwidth;

FIG. 13 is an illustration of the electronic control of AOTF filter bandwidth;

FIGs. 14a and 14b define the AOTF parameters;

FIG. 15 illustrates an alternative embodiment for
25 the excitation portion of the system illustrated in FIG. 1;

FIG. 16 illustrates a portion of a light microscope constructed according to the teachings of the present invention wherein the light source is a multiline laser; and

5 FIGS. 17, 18, and 19 illustrate alternative embodiments for the imaging portion of the system illustrated in FIG. 1.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

FIG. 1 illustrates a light microscope 10
10 constructed according to the teachings of the present invention. The light microscope 10 has an excitation portion 12 which includes an excitation source 14. Because of the losses inherent in acousto-optic tunable filters and optics traditionally used in microscopes,
15 it is necessary to provide a powerful, point light source so as to provide sufficient illumination to illuminate the sample. In one embodiment, a light source 14 which is a 500 watt xenon arc lamp having a short arc, e.g. an arc length of less than one
20 millimeter, is used. A multiline laser source may also be used.

The excitation portion 12 includes an acousto-optic tunable filter (AOTF) 16. The AOTF 16 is tuned by an arbitrary wave-form generator 17 through the
25 first of two independent output amplifiers. An AOTF control work station 18 may be provided for controlling

the wave-form generator 17 and for viewing the signals applied to the AOTF 16. It is desirable under certain circumstances, as will be discussed in greater detail hereinbelow, to multiplex the signals which are applied to tune AOTF 16. By multiplexing signals, the band of frequencies passed by the filter 16 can be increased.

It is known that acousto-optic tunable filters can be damaged if appropriate precautions are not taken. Also, it is desirable to process the light so that the rays are essentially parallel to one another. Accordingly, interposed between light source 14 and AOTF 16 are spatial filter 19, infrared filter 20, and ultraviolet filter 22.

The light produced by light source 14, after passing through filters 19, 20, and 22, is input to the AOTF 16. The AOTF 16 produces two streams of light, one o-polarized and one e-polarized. Steering optics, which may take the form of mirrors 24, 25, 26, and 27, steer the two light streams of different polarization so as to combine the two light streams into a combined stream directed to the microscope's input optics 29. It is anticipated that the function provided by the steering optics could also be performed by conductive optic fibers.

The combined light stream, after leaving input optics 29, is input to a dark-field condenser 30. A sample (not shown) is held in a sample plane 31, by

conventional means. The combined light stream output from the dark-field condenser 30, illuminates the sample in a manner such that the excitation radiation, represented by rays 32 and 34, falls outside of the scope of an objective lens 36. In that manner, the excitation illumination is separated from the fluorescence of the sample so that out-of-bandwidth radiation is minimally commingled with fluorescence from the sample.

The objective lens 36 produces an image of the sample which may be filtered by a second acousto-optic tunable filter 38. The AOTF 38 is tuned by the generator 17 through the second of the independent output amplifiers. After the image has been filtered, it may be captured, for example, by a charge coupled device (CCD) 40. The CCD 40 is under the control of a controller 42 which is in communication with an image processing work station 44. The CCD device 40 may capture the image which is stored in the image processing workstation 44. A CCD controller 42 is also provided. After the image has been stored, known software routines for examining the image, or for further processing the image, may be performed.

The excitation AOTF 16 may be implemented by a first crystal while the emission AOTF may be implemented by a second crystal satisfying the

following parameters and available from NEOS Technologies:

Crystal #	Aperture	W	H	L	θ_i	θ_o	θ_r	Transducer Length	Frequency Range
16	25mm	30 mm	27 mm	34mm	8°	3.95°	10.95°	15mm	34-81MHz
38	17mm	22mm	22mm	48mm	12°	5.9°	16.35°	27mm	48-107 MHz

The AOTF parameters in the preceding table are defined in FIGs. 14a and 14b. The AOTF's 16 and 38, under the control of workstation 18 as shown in FIG. 1, can provide rapid wavelength switching, rapid shuttering, and control over the intensity of the excitation radiation.

Theoretical Background

The presence of an acoustic wave inside a medium creates a periodic modulation of its index of refraction via the elasto-optic effect. This modulation acts as a three-dimensional sinusoidal phase grating for light incident on the crystal, leading to diffraction of certain wavelengths at an angle from the incident beam direction. In an acousto-optic tunable filter, this diffracted light is used as the filter output, and the grating frequency is electronically controlled by varying the RF frequency applied to a piezoelectric transducer bonded to one of the faces of the crystal. That results in an all-electronic, broadly tunable spectral filter with tuning speeds determined by the acoustic transit time in the crystal (typically under 50 μ s).

When driven with multiple closely-spaced RF frequencies, the AOTF also provides electronically variable bandwidth control. As shown in FIGs. 12a - 12c, combinations of frequencies can be used to increase the light throughput of the crystal. FIG. 12 illustrates intensity versus wavelength graphs for one frequency (FIG. 12a, $\Delta\lambda = 7.5$ nm), three frequencies (FIG. 12b, $\Delta\lambda = 23$ nm), and five frequencies (FIG. 12c, $\Delta\lambda = 37$ nm). FIG. 13 demonstrates the broad electronic tuning of the crystal throughout the visible spectrum.

The interaction of acoustic and optical plane waves in an anisotropic medium may be described by a pair of coupled differential equations. Useful solutions to these equations occur when the phase-matching conditions are satisfied:

$$(1) \quad k_d = k_i + k_a$$

where $k_d = 2\pi n_d/\lambda$, $k_i = 2\pi n_i/\lambda$, and $k_a = 2\pi F/V$, with F the acoustic frequency, V the acoustic speed in the crystal, λ the optical wavelength, and $n_{i,d}$ the crystal indices of refraction for the incident and diffracted beams,

respectively. For the case of an o-polarized incident wave (this is the preferred polarization for imaging in a TeO_2 AOTF), equation (1) may be written:

$$(2) \quad n_e(\lambda, \theta_d) \cos(\theta_d) - n_o(\lambda) \cos(\theta_i) + F\lambda/V \sin(\alpha) = 0$$

$$n_e(\lambda, \theta_d) \sin(\theta_d) - n_o(\lambda) \sin(\theta_i) - F\lambda/V \cos(\alpha) = 0$$

where θ_i and θ_d are the angles between the incident and diffracted beam wave-vectors and the optic axis, n_e and n_o are the extraordinary and ordinary indices of refraction, and α is the angle between the acoustic wave-vector, k_a , and the acoustic axis, as shown in FIG. 2. These equations determine the spectral tuning characteristics of AOTFs.

For AOTF imaging applications, image fidelity must also be considered. The use of an AOTF as an imaging filter for o-polarized white light is illustrated in FIG. 3. A sample 110 to be imaged lies in a sample plane 112. Light fluorescing from the sample 110 is input to input optics 114 which produce o-polarized collimated light represented by light rays 116. The light rays 116 are input to an AOTF 118 which produces e-polarized detracted beams at wavelengths λ . The diffracted beams at wavelength λ are input to output optics 120 which focus an image 122 onto an image plane 124.

In the configuration illustrated in FIG. 3, every point on the sample 110 gives rise to a bundle of multichromatic parallel rays 116 incident on the crystal 118 at a single angle θ_i . Ideally, as shown by the dashed lines in FIG. 3, the diffracted portion of this bundle should exit the crystal 118 as a bundle of monochromatic parallel rays described by a unique θ_d . In that case, each point on the sample plane 112 will map to a single point on the image plane 124. In practice, however, it is found that the diffracted ray bundle consists of rays leaving the crystal 118 over a range of

different output angles as indicated by the dashed-dot lines in FIG. 3. As a result, each sample plane point maps to a distribution of image plane points, leading to a blurred image even for fixed frequency operation.

5 A second image degrading effect, image shift, occurs when the radio frequency, F , is varied. The phase matching equations (2) dictate that changes in F result in changes in both the wavelength, λ , and the diffracted angle, θ_d , for fixed θ_i and α . That leads to a shift in image position for
10 different wavelengths. Appropriate cut of the crystal exit face, however, can eliminate that almost entirely.

Because the transducer (not shown in FIG. 3) attached to the AOTF 118 is of finite length, the acoustic field it produces may be described as a superposition of plane waves
15 at various acoustic angles, α . For white light illumination at a given operating frequency, F , and incident beam direction, θ_i , each such α will produce a diffracted output at a distinct angle θ_d and wavelength λ . The spread in acoustic angle resulting from the finite transducer length
20 consequently gives a diffracted output containing a range of angles and wavelengths, even for fixed incident beam direction, resulting in a filtered image which is blurred. AOTF image blur is, therefore, primarily attributable to acoustic beam divergence in the crystal.

25 For negligible incident light depletion (an approximation valid for AOTF efficiencies up to about 70%), the relationship between the acoustic angle intensity

spectrum and the diffracted output intensity spectra may be derived explicitly for plane waves from the AOTF interaction equations. For fixed F and θ_i we have:

$$(3) \quad I_{out}(\lambda, \theta_d) = C^2 \times I_{inc}(\lambda) \times I_a(\alpha) \times \delta[\kappa_d(\theta_d, \lambda) - \kappa_i(\theta_i, \lambda) - \kappa_a(\alpha, F)].$$

In this equation, I_{out} is the diffracted intensity; C is a constant; I_{inc} is the wavelength spectrum of the incident light; I_a is the acoustic angle intensity spectrum, proportional to the squared magnitude of the Fourier transform of the transducer profile in the direction of light propagation; and the delta function, δ , expresses the phase matching requirement. In the case of white light illumination ($I_{inc} = \text{constant}$), this equation shows that the diffracted intensity is directly proportional to the acoustic angle spectrum:

$$(4) \quad I_{out} \sim I_a(\alpha).$$

Because both λ and θ_d are functions of α via the phase-matching equations (2), I_{out} can be expressed either in terms of wavelength or in terms of output angle. When expressed in terms of wavelength, $I_{out}^{\lambda}(\lambda)$, it may be identified as the bandpass profile of the filter; when expressed in terms of angle, $I_{out}^{\theta}(\theta_d)$, it may be interpreted as the image blur profile. This equation shows that both of these are determined by the Fourier transform of the transducer structure.

The importance of the acoustic angle distribution on the spectral bandpass of an AOTF is well-known but has not been thoroughly investigated because for the majority of imaging AOTFs operating with a 10 μm or greater spatial resolution, the effect of the acoustic angle distribution on image quality is insignificant. However, for an image resolution of a few microns or less, as needed in microscopy, this effect becomes highly significant. The following experimental setup was designed to quantify this effect.

10 Experimental Setup

FIG. 4 is a schematic of a light microscope incorporating an imaging system constructed according to the teachings of the present invention which was used to conduct tests. In FIG. 4, components performing the same function as those identified in conjunction with FIG. 1 carry the same reference numeral. In FIG. 4, the arc lamp 14 produces light which passes through an excitation filter 46 before being input to the dark-field condenser 30. Light from the dark-field condenser 30 is used to illuminate a sample (not shown) held in the sample plane 31. The objective lens 36 is responsive to the light fluoresced by the sample. The light gathered by the objective lens 36 is input to the second AOTF 38 which is under the control of the arbitrary waveform generator 17 and the AOTF work station 18.

25 The light output from the AOTF 38 passes through steering optics, i.e., mirrors 48, 50, to a tube lens 52 and

a two power coupler 54 before being input to the CCD camera 40. The CCD camera 40 is under the control of the CCD controller 42 and the imaging work station 44.

The AOTF crystal used for filter 38 consists of a five
5 centimeter long TeO_2 crystal with an optical aperture of
seventeen millimeters, cut for an incident optical angle of
twelve degrees and an acoustic angle of 5.95° . The exit face
angle is cut at 16.35° to eliminate image shift. The
transducer is sectioned into seven slices, each 0.33 cm in
10 width. The transducer has four independent input ports:
three ports are connected to two slices each, and one is
connected to a single slice. The main outstanding feature of
that design is the crystal's unusual length and its impact on
device performance as will be described in detail below.

15 Drive electronics are controlled by a Macintosh IIci
computer 18 and include a 400 MHz Arbitrary Waveform
Generator 17 (LeCroy model LW420) and a single channel four-
output broadband RF amplifier (amplifier #2) with four watt
maximum total output (NEOS Technologies). Operation is
20 possible from 50-110 MHz, corresponding to diffracted optical
wavelengths between 450 - 800 nm.

The AOTF 38 is installed behind the objective lens 36 in
a research-grade fluorescence microscope (Zeiss Axioplan).
Sample illumination is performed in transmission using either
25 a seventy-five watt xenon or one hundred watt mercury arc
lamp (Zeiss). For the fluorescence measurements, a standard
rhodamine excitation interference filter 46 is also used

(Omega, 540DF19). Microscope optics include a dark-field condenser 30 (Zeiss part no. 445315; minimum NA = 1.2, maximum NA = 1.4), and 40x and 100x oil-immersed iris objectives 36 (Olympus UApo 340(40x), $0.65 < NA < 0.35$; and 5 UPlanFl(100x), $0.6 < NA < 1.3$). Dark-field optics are used to compensate for the inadequate background rejection of the present AOTF crystal 38.

Images are recorded using a CCD camera 40 with a 1317x1035 array of $6.8 \mu\text{m}$ square pixels (Princeton Instruments model CCD 1317-K; Kodak KAF1400 CCD array) 10 coupled to the microscope with a two power coupler 54 (Diagnostic Instruments model HRP-200). Images are stored and processed on a Macintosh 7100 computer 44.

Wavelength measurements are performed outside the 15 microscope of FIG. 4 with the apparatus shown in FIG. 5. The seventy-five watt xenon lamp 14 is followed by a spatial filter 56 with a tightly closed iris at its focus which produces nearly parallel rays of white light at the entrance to the AOTF 38. The diffracted beam leaving the AOTF crystal 20 38 is directed into an optical multichannel analyzer consisting of a 1/4-m monochromator 58 (Photon Technology International) and silicon array detector 60 (EG&G model 1420 with EG&G model 1461 controller 62) under computer 64 control.

Experimental Results

The diffracted intensity distribution from an AOTF, $I_{out}(\lambda, \theta_d)$, is ultimately determined by the topology of its transducer. To experimentally demonstrate this relationship, we placed opaque 0.121 μm diameter polystyrene beads (Molecular Probes, Inc.) in the sample plane 31 of the set-up shown in FIG. 4. When excited with the seventy-five watt xenon lamp, each bead appears as a quasi-point source of white light through the infinity-corrected optics of the microscope. That gives rise to a bundle of nearly parallel rays at θ_i entering the AOTF 38. For a given operating frequency, therefore, the resulting AOTF output is well described by the diffracted intensity distribution I_{out} of equation (4). Changing the transducer profile by disconnecting one or another of the transducer ports should consequently result in substantially different AOTF bead images.

Dark-field images of the single 0.121 μm diameter bead taken through the AOTF 38 with a 40x objective 36 are shown in FIGS. 6a - 6c for one, two, and six transducer slices, respectively, corresponding to transducer lengths of 0.33 μm , 0.66 μm , and 1.98 μm , respectively. The images were taken with the AOTF 38 operating at a frequency of 74 MHz and an efficiency of approximately 60%. The color scale in FIGS. 6a - 6c is not linear. The center bright spot in each figure represents the primary AOTF image of the bead; the narrower this spot, the better the AOTF resolution. The increase in

resolution with longer transducer length expected from equation (4) is clearly evident. The center spot in FIG. 6c, produced by six transducer slices, corresponds to a resolution of 1 μm . The secondary spots on either side of the center arise from the sidelobes in the transducer Fourier transform. These are reduced in intensity relative to the main peak by one to two orders of magnitude. Note the differences in number, placement, and relative intensity of the sideband peaks for each of the various transducer configurations of FIGs. 6a, 6b, and 6c.

FIGs. 7a - 7c quantify the data of FIGs. 6a - 6c and compare it to theory. Fig. 7a displays the dependence of intensity on wavelength for FIGs. 6a - 6c measured using the set-up shown in FIG. 5. FIG. 7c displays the dependence of intensity on AOTF output angle (it is proportional to distance from the central spot) for FIGs. 6a - 6c. These were obtained by taking intensity profiles of images obtained with the CCD 40 of FIG. 4, and are, in effect, measurements of $I_{\text{out}}^{\text{sd}}(\theta d)$. According to equation (4), both sets of data should be proportional to the acoustic angle profiles I_a , for each of the transducer configurations shown.

FIG. 7b shows calculated results, computed by taking the squared magnitude of the Fourier transform of each transducer profile, taking into account the slice separation of approximately 0.5 mm. The theoretical curves for these three configurations have pronounced differences in center peak width and side-band structure reflecting the differences

between their Fourier transforms. These features are also strikingly evident in the experimental data shown in FIG. 7a and 7c. Indeed, the detailed correspondence between measured and calculated results is a remarkable confirmation of
5 equation (4). FIGs. 7a - 7c quantitatively demonstrate the effect of transducer structure on the spectral and angular output characteristics of acousto-optic imaging filter 38.

The diffracted intensity distributions shown result in two types of image degradation. The center peak width leads
10 to decreased image resolution, and the sideband structure leads to decreased image contrast. With the quantitative results shown by FIGs. 7a and 7c, however, these effects can now be compensated for using digital image processing techniques. In particular, the curves of FIG. 7c represent
15 intensity profiles of AOTF images of a white light point source. This is, in effect, the measured white light point spread function (psf) for the AOTF in the microscope. Note that this psf is one-dimensional because AOTF blur occurs only along one axis. With this psf, it is straightforward to
20 use computational image processing to deconvolve the effect of the AOTF image blur from the raw images. Expectation-maximization is ideally suited for this task. See, for example, L. A. Shepp and Y. Vardi, "Maximum-likelihood reconstruction for emission tomography," IEEE Trans. Med.
25 Imag. 1, pp 113-121 (1982) and T. Holmes, "Maximum-likelihood image restoration adapted for noncoherent optical imaging," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 7, pp. 666-673 (1988).

The power of this approach may be seen in FIGs. 8a and 8b. A standard Air Force resolution target (Applied Image) is imaged through the AOTF 38 using white light brightfield illumination with six transducer slices connected. The figure displays Group 8 of this target both before (FIG. 8a) and after (FIG. 8b) processing. AOTF blur is clearly evident in the horizontal direction of the raw image of FIG. 8a. Processing removes much of the sideband-related blur, as well as partially compensating for the width of the central peak of the diffracted intensity distribution. This results in a significant contrast increase in the deconvolved image, as well as a pronounced sharpening of the target lines. The finest pattern at the bottom of the figure consists of 1.1 μm lines and spaces. These are resolved without difficulty.

To resolve even smaller structures and determine more precisely the effectiveness of the deconvolution algorithm, actin fibers in 24-hour serum-deprived 3T3 cells fixed with formaldehyde, stained with rhodamine phalloidin, and mounted in gelvatol were examined. Illumination was provided with the 546 nm line of the one hundred watt mercury lamp. In this case, per equation (3), the white light psf used above must now be multiplied by the actual spectrum of light incident on the AOTF, I_{inc} , where:

$$(5) \quad I_{inc}(\lambda) = \eta I_{exc}(\lambda) + I_{fl}(\lambda),$$

with I_{exc} the spectrum of the excitation light, I_{f1} the
fluorescence spectrum of the stained fibers, and η
a constant indicating the relative magnitude of scattered
excitation light to fluorescence at the entrance to the AOTF
5 38.

FIGs. 9a and 10a show images of these actin fibers taken
with the 40x objective 36 through the AOTF 38 before and
after deconvolution, respectively. FIGs. 9b and 10b are
intensity profiles taken along the white lines shown in the
10 bottom right corner of each of FIGs. 9a and 10a,
respectively. The minimum feature size resolvable in the raw
image of FIG. 9a is approximately 1 μm . With deconvolution,
resolution is increased to about 0.8 μm , and image contrast
is improved approximately threefold. This increased image
15 resolution and contrast with processing may be clearly seen
in the intensity profile graphs of FIG. 9b and 10b.

Higher AOTF resolution may be obtained using a 100x
objective as shown in FIG. 11a. Here, the angular separation
of the ray bundles emanating from two points in the sample
20 plane is magnified by a factor of 2.5 relative to the 40x
objective. As a result, the angular blur introduced by the
AOTF, which is independent of objective, becomes
proportionally less significant. The raw image (not shown)
corresponding to the deconvolved image in FIG. 11a, has
25 nearly the same resolution as the processed image, although
with several times less contrast. The image shown in FIG.
11a corresponds to that marked by the white rectangle in the

lower corner of FIG. 10a. The intensity profile graph shown in FIG. 11b is taken along the same line as in FIGs. 9a and 10a. Features are resolved in the 100x graph of FIG. 11b which are just barely visible in the 40x graph of FIG. 10b.

5 These correspond to a resolution in the 100x image of approximately 0.35 μm , equal to that of a conventional light microscope using mechanical filter wheels.

Conclusions

The three biggest limitations that have thus far
10 restricted the widespread use of AOTFs for imaging spectroscopy are their relatively poor out-of-band rejection, lower throughput (due in part to their polarization selectivity), and their poor imaging quality. The present invention represents a major step in overcoming such
15 limitations. With the superior speed and spectral versatility of the AOTF, the present invention opens up many new and exciting application for AOTFs in high resolution imaging.

The present invention has been described in conjunction
20 with preferred embodiments thereof. Experimental results have been provided for the purpose of illustration and not limitation. Many modifications and variations of the disclosed embodiments of the apparatus and method will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art. For example,
25 FIG. 15 illustrates a modification to the excitation portion 12. In FIG. 15, the collimated light enters AOTF 16 as in

FIG. 1. However, the light exiting AOTF 16 is input to spatial filters 76. Following the spatial filters 76 is a second excitation AOTF 78. The spatial filters 76 operate to block the undiffracted white light and to input the two polarizations of the light frequency of interest to the second excitation AOTF 78 in a manner which is opposite to the manner in which they exited from AOTF 16. The result is that the two polarizations exit the second excitation AOTF 78 as a collimated beam 80. Because the collimated beam 80 already contains both polarizations of the light wavelength of interest, optics 24, 25, 26, and 27 from FIG. 1 may be replaced by simpler steering optics.

As has been previously mentioned, AOTFs suffer from poor background rejection. By using a second AOTF, additional excitation light may be lost, but background rejection drops off by a factor of between 50 and 100. Typical parameters for both the AOTF 16 and AOTF 78 may be as indicated in the chart set forth above.

Another modification to the present invention has been previously mentioned, and that is the use of the multiline laser as the light source 14. FIG. 16 illustrates a portion of a light microscope constructed according to the teachings of the present invention wherein the light source is a multiline laser 82. The multiline laser light 82 is input to the AOTF 16 and the output is directed by steering mirrors 84 and 86 to a focusing lens 90. Because the light source is a multiline laser 82, the need to combine the two polarizations

is eliminated such that mirrors 84 and 86 serve only a steering and not a recombination function. Additionally, between mirrors 84 and 86, pin hole openings may be provided as is known for reducing background radiation. From the
5 focusing lens 90, the light passes through a spinning frosted glass 92, as is known in the art, to make the laser beam uniform and incoherent. Thereafter, the light is input to the microscope input optics 94.

Modifications to the imaging portion of the system
10 illustrated in FIG. 1 are also possible. One possible modification is illustrated in FIG. 17. In FIG. 17, the output of AOTF 38 is sent through a correction prism 96 into the CCD camera 40. As mentioned, an AOTF acts as a diffraction grating causing certain frequencies of light to
15 be deflected more than others. As is known, prisms also act to disperse light, but in a direction opposite to those of AOTF's. By proper selection of the angle and material for the correction prism 96, the deflection of the fluorescence's signal caused by the AOTF 38 can be largely compensated for
20 by the correction prism 96, thereby producing an image with greatly enhanced spatial resolution which can then be input to the CCD camera 40. The crystal 38 may be an apodized crystal.

In FIG. 18, another alternative embodiment for the
25 imaging portion of the system illustrated in FIG. 1 is shown. In FIG. 18, it is anticipated that an excitation system of the type illustrated in FIG. 15 or FIG. 16 has been provided.

Under those circumstances, rejection of background may be sufficiently high such that excitation illumination may be focused upon the sample 31 by a bright-field condenser 98.

As an alternative to the configuration of FIG. 18, the epi-illumination arrangement of FIG. 19 may be used. As is known, a dichroic beam splitter 100 is provided so that the excitation illumination is delivered by, and the fluorescence signal is collected by, the objective lens 36. The foregoing disclosure and the following claims are intended to cover all such modifications and variations.

What is claimed is:

1. A light microscope, comprising:

a light source (14);

an acousto-optic tunable filter (16) responsive to said
5 light source for producing two light streams of different
polarization;

a control circuit (17) for tuning said tunable filter
(16);

devices (24, 25, 26, 27) for combining said two light
10 streams into a combined light stream;

input optics (29) for focusing said combined light
stream onto a sample held in a sample plane (31); and

a lens (36) responsive to light from the sample plane
(31) for producing an image therefrom.

15 2. The microscope of claim 1 wherein said devices for
combining include a second acousto-optic tunable filter (78)
and spatial filters (76) positioned between said acousto-
optic tunable filter (16) and said second acousto-optic
tunable filter (78), said second acoustic-optic tunable
20 filter (78) being responsive to a second control circuit
(17).

3. The microscope of claim 1 wherein said devices for
combining include steering optics (24, 25, 26, 27).

4. The microscope of claim 1 including a
25 dark-field condenser (30) positioned between said input
optics (29) and the sample plane (31).

5. The microscope of claim 1 wherein said light source (14) includes an arc lamp.

6. The microscope of claim 5 wherein said arc lamp light source includes a xenon lamp having an arc less than 1 millimeter in length.

7. The microscope of claim 1 wherein said acousto-optic tunable filter (16) includes a tellurium dioxide crystal.

8. The microscope of claim 1 wherein said control circuit (17) includes a circuit for applying multiplexed signals to said tunable filter (16).

9. The microscope of claim 1 additionally comprising an ultraviolet filter (22) interposed between said light source (14) and said tunable filter (16).

10. The microscope of claim 1 additionally comprising an infrared filter (20) interposed between said light source (14) and said tunable filter (16).

11. The microscope of claim 1 additionally comprising: an imaging acousto-optic tunable filter (38) responsive to said lens (36) for filtering the image;

a control circuit (17) for tuning said imaging tunable filter (38); and

a processor (44) for processing the filtered image.

12. The microscope of claim 11 additionally comprising a memory (44) for storing the processed image.

13. The light microscope of claim 1 including a dichroic beam splitter (100) positioned so that said input

optics (29) and said lens (36) are positioned on the same side of the sample plane (31).

14. A light microscope, comprising:

a light source (14);

5 a first acousto-optic tunable filter (16) responsive to said light source (14) for producing two light streams of different polarization:

a first control circuit (17) for tuning said first tunable filter (16) to a plurality of frequencies;

10 devices (24, 25, 26, 27) for combining said two light streams into a combined light stream;

input optics (29) for focusing said combined light stream;

a platform for holding a sample in a sample plane (31);

15 a condenser (30) for receiving said focused combined light stream and for projecting said light stream onto the sample;

a second acousto-optic tunable filter (38) responsive to light from the sample plane (31);

20 a second control circuit (17) for tuning said second tunable filter (38) to a plurality of frequencies; and

a processor (44) for processing the light output from said second acousto-optic tunable filter (38).

15 15. The light microscope of claim 14 wherein said devices for combining include a third acousto-optic tunable filter (78) and spatial filters (76) positioned between said first acousto-optic tunable filter (16) and said third

acousto-optic tunable filter (78), said third acousto-optic tunable filter (78) being responsive to a third control circuit.

16. The light microscope of claim 14 wherein said
5 devices for combining include steering optics (24, 25, 26, 27).

17. The light microscope of claim 14 wherein said light source (14) includes an arc lamp.

18. The light microscope of claim 14 wherein said
10 condenser (30) includes a dark-field condenser.

19. The light microscope of claim 14 wherein said condenser (30) includes a bright-field condenser.

20. The microscope of claim 14 wherein said first and second control circuits (17) include first and second
15 circuits for applying multiplexed signals to said first and second tunable filters (16, 38), respectively.

21. A light microscope, comprising:

a laser light source (82);

an acousto-optic tunable filter (16) responsive to said
20 light source;

a control circuit (17) for tuning said tunable filter (16);

steering optics (84, 86) responsive to said acousto-optic tunable filter (16);

25 input optics (90), responsive to said steering optics (84, 86), for focusing said light stream onto a sample; and

an imaging lens (94) responsive to light from the sample for producing an image therefrom.

22. The light microscope of claim 21 additionally comprising pinhole apertures (88) positioned within said
5 steering optics (84, 86) for rejecting out of bandwidth radiation.

1/12




FIG. 1

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

2/12




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

3/12




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

4/12




FIG. 6a



FIG. 6b




FIG. 6c




FIG. 8a




FIG. 8b

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)




FIG. 7a




FIG. 7b




FIG. 7c

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

6/12




FIG. 9a


40x OBJECTIVE:
RAW IMAGE

FIG. 9b

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

7/12




FIG. 10a


40x OBJECTIVE:
DECONVOLVED IMAGE

FIG. 10b

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

8/12




FIG. 11a


100x OBJECTIVE:
DECONVOLVED IMAGE

FIG. 11b

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

9/12




FIG. 12a




FIG. 12b




FIG. 12c

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

10/12




FIG. 13






FIG. 14a

FIG. 14b

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

11/12



SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

12/12




FIG. 17




FIG. 18




FIG. 19

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 97/02479

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 G02B21/06 G02B21/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 G02B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 4 560 278 A (SHINOZAKI TOSHIAKI ET AL) 24 December 1985 see column 2, line 64 - column 4, line 33; figure 1	1,3,5-7, 21
Y	US 5 131 742 A (SCHAFF FRED L) 21 July 1992 see column 3, line 31 - column 5, line 32; figures 1,2	1,3,5-7, 21

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

12 June 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

23.06.97

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Hessen, J

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 97/02479

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	QELS '95. SUMMARIES OF PAPERS PRESENTED AT THE QUANTUM ELECTRONICS AND LASER SCIENCE CONFERENCE. VOL.16. 1995 TECHNICAL DIGEST SERIES CONFERENCE EDITION, QELS '95. SUMMARIES OF PAPERS PRESENTED AT THE QUANTUM ELECTRONICS AND LASER SCIENCE CONFERENCE., ISBN 0-7803-2661-X, 1995, WASHINGTON, DC, USA, OPT. SOC. AMERICA, USA, page 164 XP000654655 NITSCHKE R ET AL: "Applications of electro-optic and laser technology to fluorescence microscopy" see the whole document ---	1,3
X	see the whole document ---	21
P,X	APPLIED OPTICS, vol. 35, no. 25, 1 September 1996, pages 5220-5226, XP000628367 WACHMAN E S ET AL: "IMAGING ACOUSTO-OPTIC TUNABLE FILTER WITH 0.35-MICROMETER SPATIAL RESOLUTION" see the whole document ---	1-22
P,X	US 5 556 790 A (PETTIT JOHN W) 17 September 1996 see column 6, line 57 - column 8, line 67; figure 1 -----	1,2,14, 21

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 97/02479

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4560278 A	24-12-85	JP 1595081 C	27-12-90
		JP 59036220 A	28-02-84
		JP 63025282 B	25-05-88
		DE 3377011 A	14-07-88
		EP 0102714 A	14-03-84

US 5131742 A	21-07-92	NONE	

US 5556790 A	17-09-96	NONE	
